

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

09/890,610 10/25/2001			
	Franz Pruckner	2001-1092A	4718
513 7590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.		MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021		1755	

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10
Advisory Action	09/890,610		
	Examiner Paul Marcantoni	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		1755	
			-
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	I to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendmer ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3) 4.	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in a timely filed Request for Contin	1
	OR REPLY [check either a) or b	p)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailir	•	15 W. C. W. Start and a street which con-	1 1 4-5 1m
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date o no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).	expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M	IPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the price under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration data (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	eriod of extension and the correspond ate of the shortened statutory period f ne Office later than three months after	ting amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final Office a	extension action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37)			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ed because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	inceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amend	dment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOI	LELY to issues which were newly	У
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		ed by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State		-	
10. ☑ Other: <u>See</u> attached theet	5o.i.(0)(1 10 1110)1 apo. 14		
Total Other Act will hear J hear		Gulnot	

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755 Continuation of 2. NOTE: There is also no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented..

Application/Control Number: 09/890,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's after final amendment filed 6/18/04 was not considered because 1)

there is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is

necessary and was not earlier presented and it raises new issues requiring further

consideration and search.

New Issues:

For example, the applicants add "gelling agent" to new claim 13 and then add a

claim to define the gelling agent as sodium aluminate or calcium hydroxide (new claim

14). They also remove "impregnation agent" completely from the claims which is a new

issue.

Also, the applicants changed their originally claimed water insoluble inorganic

silicate to a curable inorganic sililcate "binder". Applicants completely remove "water

insoluble" from the claim.

These are representative of the new issues above and thus this after final will not

be entered. The applicants' finally rejected claims 9-12 do remain rejected.

Inquiries may be made to Paul Marcantoni at 571-272-1373.

PAUL MARCANTONI PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1700